UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,392	08/15/2006	Masayoshi Son	284809US2PCT	1989	
22850 7590 10/24/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			UBER, NATHAN C		
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/24/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)					
Office Asticus Occurrence		10/565,392	SON, MASAYOS	SON, MASAYOSHI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		NATHAN C. UBER	3622						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	July 2008							
, —		is action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٥,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	n							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremen	t.						
	on Papers	•							
	•								
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin								
10)[X]	The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 January 2006</u> is/ard		_ ,	ner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
44)□:	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·		, ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) or No(s)/Mail Date se of Informal Patent Application r:						

Art Unit: 3622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in reply to the amendment filed on 07 July 2008.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 9-14 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are currently pending and have been examined.

# **Drawings**

4. The drawings were objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference characters not mentioned in the description: 42 and 62. Applicant's remarks explained where these references are found within the specification. The objection is hereby withdrawn.

## **Specification**

**5.** The abstract of the disclosure was objected. Applicant's submitted a new abstract. The Objection is hereby withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims contain at least one of the following terms which were either ill-defined in the specification or were used inconsistently in the claims and as a result rendered the claims indefinite. For the purposes of this examination examiner interpreted each term as shown below.
  - notification = advertisements/messages, as in distributing notifications/advertisements
  - switching = changing, as in changing which message is being displayed

Art Unit: 3622

switching way information = data or instructions for when to change a

message or which message to display next

management server = central system

This rejection is maintained. Applicant, in his response, argued that the terms were sufficiently

defined by virtue of the claim language itself. Applicant did not address Examiner's interpretation

of each term. Applicant simply quoted passages from the claims that include the terms.

Respectfully, Examiner was aware of the claim language at the time the rejection was made, and

that language was the basis for Examiner's interpretations listed above. At the time of the

rejection Examiner found the above-listed claim language unusual and difficult to interpret without

clear definitions. In Applicant's response, Applicant failed to show where in the specification the

terms were defined. Simply showing a term in a sentence or "in context" does not serve as a

definition of a term. The claims remain rejected because one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention would not be able to interpret this claim language in light of the specification

without taking interpretive leaps as the Examiner was forced to do. Because the Applicant is in

the best position to clearly and distinctly describe Applicant's invention it remains Applicant's

burden to clarify the meaning of the above-listed terms and specify whether those definitions are

different from the interpretations of Examiner.

7. Claims 1 and 4-14 were replete with limitations for which there was insufficient antecedent basis.

Applicant has appropriately amended the claims, the rejections are withdrawn.

**8.** Regarding claim 10, the phrase "such as" was removed, the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

9. Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention was directed to non-

statutory subject matter. Applicant's amendment adding the step of changing the content

presented based on the time calculations constitutes a useful concrete and tangible result. The

rejection is therefore withdrawn.

Art Unit: 3622

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

**10.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.
- 13. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Own Admissions in the background of the specification (AOA) in view of Carney et al. (U.S. 2002/0111866 A1).

#### Claim 1:

AOA, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

Application/Control Number: 10/565,392

Art Unit: 3622

 a content accumulating unit that accumulates the content data (see at least pages 1-2 of the specification, lines 39 and 1-2, "a mobile terminal for receiving the information from the transmitter unit"),

Page 5

- an identifier storing unit that stores a content presentation device identifier which is uniquely given to said content presentation devices (see at least page 1 of the specification, line 35, "an indicator"),
- an output unit that outputs said content data (see at least page 1 of the specification, line 35, "signboard"),
- a communication unit that receives a switching control signal which instructs
  to switch the content data output from said output unit (see at least page 1 of
  the specification, line 35, "transmitter unit"),

Although AOA discloses an output switching unit that switches the content data output from said output unit (see at least page 2 of the specification, lines 2-3, "information can be updated on a real time base..."), AOA does not specifically disclose an output switching unit that switches the content data output from said output unit based on said switching control signal at a time point calculated by subtracting a predetermined time from an arrival time of a train in a railroad time table. However, Carney et al., as shown, discloses a system for delivering content to presentation devices in airports and train stations (see at least ¶0033), and specifically discloses changing the content displayed based on the arrival and departure times of flights (see at least ¶0034). Changing a display based on arriving and departing flight times is analogous to changing the display at a time calculated from the arrival time of a train. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the content switching function which applicant admits was known in the art with the additional functionality to vary the content switching based on vehicle arrival times since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of

Art Unit: 3622

ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

#### Claim 2:

The combination AOA/Carney discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection above. Further AOA, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

- said communication unit has a capability of receiving said content data (see at least page 2 of the specification, lines 3-4, "delivering information through communication lines"),
- wherein said content accumulating unit has a capability of accumulating the
  content data as received by said communication unit (see at least pages 1-2
  of the specification, lines 39 and 1-2, "a mobile terminal for receiving the
  information from the transmitter unit").

## Claim 3:

The combination AOA/Carney discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection above. Further AOA, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

- a notification unit that notifies the content presentation devices identifier stored in said identifier storing unit (see at least page 1 of the specification, line 33, "display type notification device" and line 38, "notified about service content and the like...").
- 14. Claims 4-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Own Admissions in the background of the specification (AOA) in view of Carney et al. (U.S. 2002/0111866 A1) and further in view of Dukach et al. (U.S. 2004/0036622).

# Claim 4:

The combination AOA/Carney discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection above. Further AOA, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

a delivery of said content data or a reception of said switching control signal

is performed through said communication network (see at least page 2 of the

Page 7

specification, lines 3-4, "delivering information through communication lines"),

AOA does not disclose a base station or networking with other content presentation

devices, however, Dukach, as shown, does:

said communication unit is provided with a network formation capability of

forming a local communication network with a base station or other content

presentation devices located in a predetermined area (see at least Figures

18 and 19, "central system 102" and ¶0205, "a local communication device"),

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to control the displays using a central station and to provide the

displays with local networking capability because such a system "provide[s] for more

flexible, effective, and/or profitable usage of signs" (Dukach, ¶5).

Claim 5:

The combination AOA/Carney discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

AOA does not disclose a base station or networking with other content presentation

devices, however, Dukach, as shown, does:

the content presentation devices are provided with a capability of detecting

another communication terminal apparatus located in a communication

network, and notifying the content presentation devices identifier of its own

device to said another communication terminal apparatus (see at least

¶0205, "[t]his device enables the non-mobile unit to interact with people and

electronic systems in its locale, which contain similar local communication

devices"),

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to enable the displays to communicate with each other because such a

system "provide[s] for more flexible, effective, and/or profitable usage of signs" (Dukach,

Art Unit: 3622

¶5), for example signs in close proximity to each other can be programmed not to display

duplicative advertisements.

Claim 6:

The combination AOA/Carney discloses the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

Further Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

• said communication unit is provided with a capability of acquiring through

said communication network a terminal identifier which is used to specify

another communication terminal apparatus located in said communication

network (see at least ¶0205, "[t]his device enables the non-mobile unit to

interact with people and electronic systems in its locale, which contain similar

local communication devices"),

Dukach does not specifically disclose acquiring... a terminal identifier, however Examiner

takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art that in order for any device to

communicate over any kind of network, the respective devices must first be able to obtain

identifiers to identify each other and establish a line of communication (i.e.

communication ports between printers and computers). Therefore it would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

ensure that the displays transmit and acquire terminal identifiers because devices

necessarily must identify each other in order to establish communication over a network.

Claim 13:

AOA, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

a content accumulating unit that accumulates the content data (see at least

pages 1-2 of the specification, lines 39 and 1-2, "a mobile terminal for

receiving the information from the transmitter unit"),

an identifier storing unit that stores a content presentation device identifier

which is uniquely given to said content presentation devices (see at least

page 1 of the specification, line 35, "an indicator"),

Application/Control Number: 10/565,392

Art Unit: 3622

 an output unit that outputs said content data (see at least page 1 of the specification, line 35, "signboard"),

Page 9

- a communication unit that receives a switching control signal which instructs
  to switch the content data output from said output unit (see at least page 1 of
  the specification, line 35, "transmitter unit"),
- an output switching unit that switches the content data output from said output unit based on a railroad time table contained in said management information and a measurement time of said timer at a time point calculated by subtracting a predetermined time from an arrival time of a train in a railroad time table (see at least page 2 of the specification, lines 2-3, "information can be updated on a real time base..."),

Although AOA discloses an output switching unit that switches the content data output from said output unit (see at least page 2 of the specification, lines 2-3, "information can be updated on a real time base..."), AOA does not specifically disclose based on a railroad time table contained in said management information and a measurement time of said timer at a time point calculated by subtracting a predetermined time from an arrival time of a train in a railroad time table. However, Carney et al., as shown, discloses a system for delivering content to presentation devices in airports and train stations (see at least ¶0033), and specifically discloses changing the content displayed based on the arrival and departure times of flights (see at least ¶0034). Changing a display based on arriving and departing flight times is analogous to changing the display at a time calculated from the arrival time of a train. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the content switching function which applicant admits was known in the art with the additional functionality to vary the content switching based on vehicle arrival times since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of

Art Unit: 3622

ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Further AOA does not specifically disclose the following limitations. However, Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

- information by which said content presentation device identifiers, content identifiers which identify content to be output respectively by the content presentation devices, and presentation time information relating to the times in which the respective items of said content are presented (see at least ¶0131, "the schedule takes the form of a database... table also includes a time column, which identifies the time range during which a given record is to apply. The table further includes columns and that identify the display messages that are to be shown on the separately programmable displays"),
- a timer unit that periodically measures an elapsed time (see at least ¶0131,
   "the number of cumulative minutes the mobile unit or other mobile units have already displayed a particular message"),

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the advertisement distribution system disclosed by the combination of AOA/Carney with the robust database management capabilities of Dukach because the combination "provide[s] for more flexible, effective, and/or profitable usage of signs" (Dukach, ¶0005).

**15.** Claims 7-12 and 14 are rejected 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dukach et al. (U.S. 2004/0036622) in view of Carney et al. (U.S. 2002/0111866 A1).

# Claim 7:

Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

 a management information database that accumulates management information by which presentation device identifiers which identify said Art Unit: 3622

content presentation devices, content identifiers which identify content to be output respectively by the content presentation devices, and presentation time information relating to the times in which respective items of said content are presented (see at least ¶0131, "the schedule takes the form of a database... table also includes a time column, which identifies the time range during which a given record is to apply. The table further includes columns and that identify the display messages that are to be shown on the separately programmable displays"),

- a timer unit that periodically measures an elapsed time (see at least ¶0131,
   "the number of cumulative minutes the mobile unit or other mobile units have already displayed a particular message"),
- a communication unit that transmits a switching control signal designating the
  content data, which is to be output, to a content presentation device
  determined based on said management information and a measurement time
  of said timer (see at least ¶0137, "[central system] includes a wireless
  system for transmitting and receiving wireless messages to and from
  individual mobile units"),

Although Dukach discloses a communication unit that transmits a switching control signal instructing a content presentation device to switch the content data (see at least ¶0137, "[central system] includes a wireless system for transmitting and receiving wireless messages to and from individual mobile units"), Dukach does not specifically disclose switch[ing] the content data based on a railroad timetable contained in said management information and a measurement time of said timer calculated by subtracting a predetermined time from an arrival time of a train in a railroad time table. However, Carney et al., as shown, discloses a system for delivering content to presentation devices in airports and train stations (see at least ¶0033), and specifically discloses changing the content displayed based on the arrival and departure times of flights (see at least ¶0034).

Changing a display based on arriving and departing flight times is analogous to changing the display at a time calculated from the arrival time of a train. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the content switching function which applicant admits was known in the art with the additional functionality to vary the content switching based on vehicle arrival times since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

#### Claim 8:

Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

whether content data to be replaced is replaced by content data delivered anew or by content already stored in the content presentation device, and wherein said switching control signal includes the content identifier of the content data for replacement in accordance with said switching way information (see at least ¶0131, "the schedule can be a virtually any type of data structure capable of indicating which display messages are to be shown by a mobile unit given information that can include..." and ...information "that identify[ies] the display messages that are to be shown").

#### Claim 9:

Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

said management information contains local information relating to a
population distribution that changes with time, and said switching control
signal is generated and transmitted in accordance with said local information
(see at least ¶0017, "In some such embodiments using a demographic
database, the demographic database indicates the demographic

Art Unit: 3622

characteristics of potential audiences both as a function of locations and time. Such embodiments use the database to select both at what locations

and what times messages should be shown").

Claim 10:

Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

• an external information acquisition unit that acquires live traffic information or

weather information from external information service wherein said

management information contains external information to be associated and

a switching condition applicable to said external information, and said

switching control signal is generated and transmitted in accordance with said

external information when said switching condition is satisfied (see at least

¶0182, "...a traffic database, which stores information about motor vehicle

traffic flows derived from locator signals and other information generated by

the system's mobile and fixed units; a weather database derived from

weather information obtained from the system's mobile and fixed units...").

Claim 11:

Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

• the content data is delivered to the corresponding content presentation

device based on said management information (see at least ¶0008, "the

central computer system selects the information that will be shown on the

vehicle mounted display as a function of the sensed location [and] transmits

one or more messages to control circuitry on the vehicle, which causes the

selected information to be displayed").

Claim 12:

Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

said management information contains content delivery information which

associates the content identifier of the content data to be delivered, the

Art Unit: 3622

content presentation device identifier of the destination of delivery, and delivery times with each other (see at least ¶0199, describing a display device controller that is capable of organizing message ID, display time, and various other functions).

## Claim 14:

Dukach, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

- accumulating management information by which content presentation device identifiers which identify said content presentation devices, content identifiers which identify content to be output respectively by the content presentation devices, and presentation time information relating to the times in which the respective items of said content are presented (see at least ¶0131, "the schedule takes the form of a database... table also includes a time column, which identifies the time range during which a given record is to apply. The table further includes columns and that identify the display messages that are to be shown on the separately programmable displays"),
- periodically measuring an elapsed time (see at least ¶0131, "the number of cumulative minutes the mobile unit or other mobile units have already displayed a particular message"),
- switching the content data that is presented by the presentation device specified by said content presentation device identifier based on said management information and the elapsed time as measured (see at least ¶0008, "the central computer system selects the information that will be shown on the vehicle mounted display as a function of the sensed location [and] transmits one or more messages to control circuitry on the vehicle, which causes the selected information to be displayed"),
- transmitting a switching control signal indicating content, which is to be output, to the presentation device by said content presentation device

Art Unit: 3622

identifier from said management server based on a railroad time table contained in said management information and the elapsed time as measured (see at least ¶0137, "[central system] includes a wireless system for transmitting and receiving wireless messages to and from individual mobile units"),

Dukach does not specifically disclose based on a railroad time table contained in said management information and the elapsed time as measured. However, Carney et al., as shown, discloses a system for delivering content to presentation devices in airports and train stations (see at least ¶0033), and specifically discloses changing the content displayed based on the arrival and departure times of flights (see at least ¶0034). Further Dukach discloses switching the content data that is presented on a corresponding content presentation device based on said switching control signal (see at least ¶0137, "[central system] includes a wireless system for transmitting and receiving wireless messages to and from individual mobile units"), Dukach does not specifically disclose switching at a time point calculated by subtracting a predetermined time from an arrival time of a train in a railroad time table. However, Carney et al., as shown, discloses a system for delivering content to presentation devices in airports and train stations (see at least ¶0033), and specifically discloses changing the content displayed based on the arrival and departure times of flights (see at least ¶0034). Changing a display based on arriving and departing flight times is analogous to changing the display at a time calculated from the arrival time of a train. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the content switching function which applicant admits was known in the art with the additional functionality to vary the content switching based on vehicle arrival times since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of

Art Unit: 3622

ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

# **Response to Arguments**

**16.** Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3622

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3622

19. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this

communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Nathan C

Uber whose telephone number is 571.270.3923. The Examiner can normally be reached on

Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached at 571.272.6724.

20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have

questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866.217.9197 (toll-free).

**21.** Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

22. Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark

Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

/Nathan C Uber/ Examiner, Art Unit 3622 13 October 2008

/Arthur Duran/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622